

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/613,877	EROZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Harry Vartanian	Art Unit 2634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Harry Vartanian. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Phouphanomketh Ditthavong(Req# 44658). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
**Claims discussed:**

1, 2, 4, 11, 13, 19, and 21

**Prior art documents discussed:**
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed potential double patenting issues with Claim 1 in 10/613/877 and Claim 17 in application 10/930298. Corrected antecedent basis issues.*
**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)